COURT FEE INDIA TEN RUPEES CANCELLED

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH

M.C.C. No. 133 /2005

APPLICANT PETITIONER :- Basant Pandey son of late Parmeshwar Datta Pandey, aged about 53 years, Resident of Block Colony, Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANTS RESPONDENTS :-

1. The State of Chhattisgarh Through - The Secretary, Department of School Education, Mamtralaya, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The District Education Officer, Bilaspur (C.G.).
3. Smt. S. Kurrey wife of A.D. Kurrey, Principal, Govt. Higher Secondary Girls School, Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).
4. R.N. Chandrakar son of Ramadhar Chandrakar, Head Master, Govt. Higher Secondary Girls School, Takhatpur, Ex-Incharge Valuation Takhatpur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.).
5. N.K. Dubey, Lecturer In-charge Valuation Centre Govt. Higher Secondary Girls School, Takhatpur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.).
6. Bhuneshwar Kaushik, Lecturer, Chief Examiner (Science) Govt. Higher Secondary Girls School, Takhatpur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.).

P.R.No. 1726/05 Presented by Shri M.K. Bhadauria Dated 06/7/05

RECEIVED-COPY 6.7.05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

APPLICATION FOR NUMERICAL CORRECTION IN THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 27.06.2005 PASSED IN W.P. No. 1884/2005 BY THE HON'BLE COURT.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक .....M.C.C. 133/05..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	13-1-2006 Shri Malay Kumar Bhaduri, counsel for the applicant. Shri Alok Bakshi, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 and 2. Heard both the counsel. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that in the 3rd line of the order dated 27-6-2005 instead of respondents No.3 and 6 it has been inadvertently mentioned as respondent No.4 and 6, therefore, respondent No.4 should be corrected as respondent No.3. I have perused the original writ petition in which it is mentioned that respondent No.3 has committed some irregularity. Prayer made in the M.C.C. appears to be correct, therefore, it is directed that figure "4" in the 3rd line of order dated 27-6-2005 passed in the Writ Petition No.1884/2005 be read as figure "3". With the direction aforesaid, M.C.C. stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	